# **Coastal Erosion from Space**



in Nerja (Spain)

in Kilkee (Ireland)

in St Laurent mouth (Canada)

# Annex 2 – The Processors

Ref: SO-TR-ARG-003-055-009-TSD-A2 Date: 12/12/2019

# **Customer: ESA**

Contract Ref.: 4000126603/19/I-LG











British Geological Survey Expert | Impartial | Innovative







© 201719 ARGANS



**Annex 2 – The ProcessorsMonthly Report** 

This page is intentionally left blank



# 1 Version and Signatures

Version	Date	Modification
Verification by		
Authorisation		



Ref.: SO-TR-ARG-003-055-009-TSD-A2 Date: 12/12/2019 Page | 2

# 2 Acronyms



# 3 Applicable and reference documents

Id	Description	Reference
AD-1	Requirement Baseline Document	SO-RP-ARG-003-055-006-RBD_v1.0_20190916
AD-2	Technical Specification Document	ARG-003-055-009-TSD



# 4 List of Figures

4.1.1.1 Figure 4.1.1.2 Description NA

4.1.1.3 Page



# 5 List of Tables

5.1.1.1 Table 5.1.1.2 Description

5.1.1.3 Page



# 1 The Processors

# 1.1 Pre-Processing

Service Provider	AdwaisEO/ARGANS
Description: Data Collect	ion
To provide a system	em of collecting, formatting and storing of all EOs and auxiliary datasets.
	gned an appropriates identification nomenclature so that it can be easily accessed
Outputs	
System/Pre-Processo	r ready EO and Auxiliary data
Outstanding Risks	
	datums within end-users' Auxiliary Datasets.
Availability of relevan	·
Definition of storage	capacity requirements.
Description: Pre-Processi	ing
• To provide an au	tomatic pre-processing platform that prepares all EO products for CSI extraction
by EO Processors	(Section 5.1).
• Co-register each	EO image based on orthorectified master images that are geo-located. (All
products)	
Re-sample image	to required spatial resolution. (All products)
Cloud masking. (S	Selected products)
Glint correction.	(Selected products)
Outputs	
• Processor ready EO p	roducts
Outstanding Risks	
	sing processor is still in development, production will continue and may require ction (co-registration etc).
Verification Process	
Visual comparison be	tween co-registered images



## 1.2 Foundation Processors

### 1.2.1 Waterline Identification (VNIR)

Service Provider	ARGANS	
Description:		
Description:		
Automatic detect	ion of the boundary between	the sea and the land surface during each EO
observation by op	itical satellites (Positions C in Fi	gure 2.1), correcting from errors due to seaward
whitecaps (wave b	preaking)	
Product should be	completed run with all optical	EO platforms including Sentinel 2, Landsat and all
commercial satell	ites (VHR).	
Outputs		
• CE_ARG_area_L2_1D_	OB_WL_sensor_date.shp	CSI Position: Proxy based waterline
Outstanding Risks		
	mpleted to convert the code t	o accept Landsat and non-Maxar VHR data (e.g.
Pleiades and SPOT)		
•	ertainty equation to be develop	bed
Verification and Valida	Verification and Validation testing	
Auxiliary Data Requireme	nts	
Sampling rate definition	วท	
Wave fields offshore a	nd local winds	
Shore slope		
<ul> <li>Upper beach' and lower beach's materials (+ their VNIR spectra)</li> </ul>		
Verification process		
By eye comparison betwee	en the computed line and a VHF	≀ images

## 1.2.2 Waterline Identification (SAR)

Service Provider	IsardSAT
Expert User	BGS
Description:	
<ul> <li>Automatic detection c 2.1)</li> </ul>	of the boundary between the sea and the land surface. (Position C in Figure



**Annex 2 – The Processors** 

Outputs	
<ul> <li>CE_ISR_area_L2_1D_OB_WL_sensor_dateTtime.shp</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>CSI Position: Proxy based waterline (SAR)</li> </ul>
Outstanding Risks	
<ul> <li>Initial development is complete, however the ability to run</li> <li>Signal noise from geometric distortion from tall objects ac</li> <li>Error budget and uncertainties are unknown at present</li> </ul>	
Auxiliary Data Requirements	
<ul> <li>Sampling rate to be defined</li> <li>Wave fields offshore and local winds</li> <li>Beach humidity profiles</li> <li>Shore DTM</li> </ul>	
Verification process	

## 1.2.3 Mapping/Feature Classification

Service Provider	ARGANS			
Expert User	ARCTUS			
Description:				
<ul><li>in the immediate</li><li>Classification prov</li></ul>	over classification of the coastli vicinity to the seafront (Littoral vides information to derive the spectively in Figure 2.1), as a bo	Lim e bou	it). undary between Lit	toral zone and Backshore
• CE_ARG_area_L2_1D_	_FB_LL_ <b>date</b> .shp	•	Zone and the I	ndary between the Littoral Backshore, derived from from a single EO sample.
• CE_ARG_ <b>area</b> _L2_1D_ an intermediary produ		•	Classification map ecosystems from a	of land cover types and single EO sample.
• CE_ARG_area_L3_1D_	_FB_LL_ <b>date_date</b> .shp	•	Littoral Zone ar classification map	51: boundary between the nd the Backshore from os built from multiple EO e same satellite mission.



CE_ARG_area_L3_2D_FB_LULC_sensor_date_date-	•	Classification map: land cover types and
.tif		ecosystems derived from a combination of
		multiple EO observations during a given period
		(season)

#### Current Status:

• The processing is done by the IOTA<sup>2</sup> platform, which is system ready.

#### **Outstanding Risks**

- The methodology is not completed (choice of training data sets for the random forest algorithm): for each case study area, a training dataset needs to be completed, and its validity in time is not known.
- A short programme needs to be developed to create a binary image out of the classified image (littoral zone and backshore).
  - This should be done automatically and parameterised by the different environments longshore.
  - No error budget (except a posteriori estimates) = uncertainty equation to be developed
- Verification and Validation testing datasets required.

#### Auxiliary Data Requirements

- Sampling rate definition
- Tidal data

•

• Validation data (i.e. positions of seafront at given observation periods).

#### Verification data

Process validation statistics for accuracy and precision (Overall accuracy and Kappa Coefficient)

#### 5.1.2 Satellite Derived Bathymetry

Service Provider	ARGANS	
Expert User	GSI	
Description:		
To inform on sediment	t transport and nearshore ru	n slope characteristics, satellite derived
bathymetry will be applie	ed to produce a 3D DTM of the	nearshore. (Zone 2 in Figure 2.1)
Outputs		
• CE_ARG_area_L2_3D_BT_SD	B_ <b>sensor_date</b> .tif	DSM/ Digital Surface Model which
<ul> <li>CE_ARG_area_L3_3D_BT_SD</li> </ul>	B_sensor_date_date.tif	captures natural and built features
•		



Annex 2 – The	Processors
---------------	------------

<ul> <li>CE_ARG_area_L2_3D_BT_BP_date derived product from the formers using</li> <li>[EO]-L2_3D_BTM/SDB/m_{area/date/hour}<sub>[Alg(L2)]</sub></li> <li>[EO]-L3_3D_BTM/SDB/m_{area/date/hour-[Δt]}<sub>[Alg(L3)]</sub></li> <li>[EO]-L3_3D_BTM/SDW/m_{area/date/hour-[Δt]}<sub>[Alg(L4)]</sub></li> <li>L4_3D_BTM/SDB/m_{area/date/hour-[Δt]}<sub>[Alg(L4)]</sub></li> <li>[EO]-L3_3D_BTM/SDB/m_{area/date/hour-[Δt]}<sub>[Alg(L3)]</sub></li> <li>L4_3D_BTM/SDB/m_{area/date/hour-[Δt]}<sub>[Alg(L3)]</sub></li> <li>L4_3D_BTM/SDB/m_{area/date/hour-[Δt]}<sub>[Alg(L4)]</sub></li> <li>[EO]-L3_3D_BTM/SDB/m_{area/date/hour-[Δt]}<sub>[Alg(L4)]</sub></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Cross shore profile: Slope characteristics at defined transect positions.</li> <li>products whose design is under consideration</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>ADFs of         [EO]-L2_3D_BTM/{area/date/hour} [Alg(L2)]     </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Difference assessment: comparison of nearshore morphology between reference data (e.g. chart data) and optical SD</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>CE_ARG_area_L4_3D_BT_SDB_WF_sensors_date_date.tif</li> <li>L4_1D_BTM/m_{area/date/hour-[\(\Delta t\)]} }         [Alg(L4)]     </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Fusion of BTM information from optical and SAR images: depths &amp; slopes</li> <li>products whose design is under consideration</li> </ul>
Outstanding Risks	
<ul> <li>SDB is only suitable for certain conditions (atmospheric, hyd site will become apparent during data production.</li> <li>processors b., c. and d. might not be ready for end Y2019.</li> <li>Auxiliary Data Requirements</li> </ul>	rodynamic, geometric), suitability for each
<ul> <li>Depth of closure information (to be provided by users), to d</li> <li>Reference surveys.</li> <li>Tide gauge/ tidal data.</li> <li>Atmospheric pressure.</li> <li>Wavefield (wind waves and swell) as well as wind data.</li> <li>Sampling rate definition</li> </ul>	efine the seaward limit of area of interest.
Verification Process	
Comparison with existing nautical chart	

## 5.1.3 5.2.5 Wave Field Analysis

Service Provider	IsardSAT
Expert User	GSI
Description:	



- To produce information from wave field patterns in the nearshore, deriving information on the seafloor based on changing sea-waves' wavelength, and waves' breaking.
- Describe the shoaling areas, including type of breakers and length of shoaling areas, thus informing on the type/angle of slope in the beach run-up. (Zone 2 in Figure 2.1)

## Outputs • CE\_ISR\_area\_L2\_3D\_BT\_WF\_sensor\_date.XXX Feature recognition: identify anomalies • CE\_ISR\_area\_L3\_3D\_BT\_WF\_sensor\_date\_date.XXX when comparing wave fields in the shoaling zone compared to theoretical wave fields for standard seabed (reference data from chart / DEM-DTM), locate bars & shoals; Gross estimate of beach face slope; Assess depth over bars and shoals products whose design is under consideration **Outstanding Risks** To be updated **Auxiliary Data Requirements** • Reference chart data. Wavefield (wind waves and swell) as well as wind data. Tidal data. Atmospheric pressure. Sampling rate definition. **Verification process**

•

## 1.3 Data Fusion Processors

### 1.3.1 Shoreline Extrapolation/Extraction

Service Provider	ARGANS		
Expert User	IHC		
Description:			
To the set of the set			
To transform all waterline observations into datum-based shoreline indicators between mean-high-			
water mark (MHWM) a	water mark (MHWM) and mean-low-water mark (MLWM) (Positions B.1 to B.2 in Figure 2.1).		



- Nota: for this phase of the ESA contract, we won't use hydrographic or cartographic astronomical tide-level datum such as HAT, MHWS, MHWN, OHWS, HWMOT, HWMOST, HWMMT, MHW, DTL-MTL, MLWS, MLWN, LWMOT, LWWMMT, LWH, LWMOST, and LAT<sup>1</sup>, but the MHWM and MLWM which looks more appropriate to coastal erosion assessment, though MHW and LHW are used by the Ordnance Survey agency and BGS.
- Transformations will be based on the position of waterline in reference to a known slope angle of beach run-up and an accurate understanding of sea state at point of observation (tide height, wave setup).

### Outputs

- CE\_ARG\_area\_L2\_1D\_DB\_SL\_MHWM\_date.shp
- CE\_ARG\_area\_L2\_1D\_DB\_SL\_MSWM\_date.shp
- CE\_ARG\_area\_L2\_1D\_DB\_SL\_MLWM\_date.shp
- CE\_ARG\_area\_L3\_1D\_DB\_SL\_MHWM\_date\_date.shp
- CE\_ARG\_area\_L3\_1D\_DB\_SL\_MSWM\_date\_date.shp
- CE\_ARG\_area\_L3\_1D\_DB\_SL\_MSL\_date\_date.shp
- CE\_ARG\_area\_L3\_1D\_DB\_SL\_MLWM\_date\_date.shp

CSI Position: Datum based tidelines

### **Outstanding Risks**

- because the slopes vary between the beach face, the position of the waterline on each EO, and the location of the shoreline based on tide-level datum, one should choose waterlines that are near the expected shorelines = waterlines drawn from EO snapshots taken at similar tidal coefficients<sup>2</sup> compared to the tide-level datum coefficient.
- Cross-shore profiles to be extracted from SDBTM products, or to be introduced as external auxiliary data.

<sup>1</sup> HAT: highest level that can be expected to occur under average meteorological conditions and under any combination of astronomical conditions; MHWS/ MHWN: average of the two successive high waters during those periods of 24 hours when the range of the tide is at its greatest/least; OHWS: ordinary high water springs and HWMOST, i.e. high water mark of ordinary spring tides; MHW: Mean High Water and HWMOT, i.e. high water mark of ordinary tides; HWMMT: high water mark of medium tides; DTL: arithmetic mean of mean higher high water and mean lower low water; MTL: arithmetic mean of mean high water and mean low water; MLWS/MLWN: average of the two successive low waters during those periods of 24 hours when the range of the tide is at its greatest/least; LHW: mean low water and LWMOT, i.e. low water mark of ordinary tides; LWWMMT: low water mark of medium tides; OLWS: Ordinary low water Spring, and LWMOST, i.e. low water mark of ordinary spring tides; and LAT: lowest level that can be expected to occur under average meteorological conditions and under any combination of astronomical conditions;

 $^{2} c = ((h_{h} - h_{l})/\Delta h) \cdot 100$  where  $h_{h}$  is the depth at the nearest high water time,  $h_{l}$  the depth at the nearest low water time, and  $\Delta h = h_{h} - h_{l}$ 



• To be corrected from the wave set-up, that requires information on the wave field spectrum, the waves direction, and the bathymetry (to model the water piling up on the shore, yet escaping laterally by alongshore currents)

### Auxiliary Data Requirements

- Waterlines (proxy)
- Beach slopes r cross-shore profiles
- Tidal data.
- Wavefield, wind and swell data.
- Atmospheric Pressure data

### Verification process

٠

## 1.3.2 Stochastic Estimation of Erosion Rates

Service Provider	ARGANS	
Expert User	All partners	
Description:	1	
To give estimation     defined cells long	on of sediment volume change fr	ositions A, B.1 to B.2 in Figure 2.1). From evolution of the cross-shore profile within
• [EO]-L3t_1D_FB_OHV [Alg(L3t)]	VM-VL_{area/date/hour-[ $\Delta$ t]} /L_{area/date/hour-[ $\Delta$ t]} <sub>[Alg(L4t)]</sub>	<ul> <li><u>change</u> <u>detection</u>: comparison of shorelines (proxy) from same EO mission or different EO missions</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>[EO] 12+ 10 00/[+idz</li> </ul>	e level]-SL_{area/date/hour-[∆t]}	estimate of motion of shorelines (datum)
<ul> <li>[Alg(L3t)]</li> <li>L4t_1D_DB/[tide le [Alg(L3t)]</li> </ul>	vel]-SL _{area/date/hour-[ $\Delta$ t]} _{area/date/hour-[ $\Delta$ t]} <sub>[Alg(L3)]</sub>	and <u>calculation of related volume changes</u> , using shoreline profiles from the same EO mission or different EO missions.
<ul> <li>[Alg(L3t)]</li> <li>L4t_1D_DB/[tide le (Alg(L3t)]</li> <li>[EO]-L3t_1D_DB/CSL_</li> <li>[EO]-L3t_3D_BTM/SD</li> </ul>		using shoreline profiles from the same EO

<u>profile</u> at  $\delta$ t time scale.



error budges to be devised.		
Verification process		

#### 1.3.3 Post Processing

Service Provider	ARGANS /Adwaiseo			
Description:				
Metadata collec	Metadata collection and product file construction			
<ul> <li>To collate all information that characterises the coastline in each EO product delivery.</li> <li>This information will be delivered as instantaneous information for 2D products (e.g. weather, ti and sea state conditions)</li> <li>Metadata information on the EO product(s) used to derive the products will also be provided.</li> </ul>				
		• An error estimation will be generated and included with each product.		
		Each product wi	Il be assigned a specific file ID that allow instant access through database indexing.	
Outputs				
EO product indexing ID.				
• Auxiliary data table, including metadata and error estimation if errors are not in the file bundle				
Outstanding Risks				
• N/A				
Verification Process	Verification Process			



End of Document